

# PREGNANCY INTENDEDNESS WITH VAGINAL PH MODULATOR: **RESULTS FROM THE PHASE 3 AMPOWER TRIAL**

<sup>1</sup>Maximos Obstetrics and Gynecology, League City, TX, USA ; <sup>2</sup>Evofem Biosciences, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Health Decisions Inc., Durham, NC, USA.

## INTRODUCTION

- Vaginal pH modulator (VPM; Phexxi<sup>®</sup>) is a non-hormonal, woman-controlled bioadhesive contraceptive vaginal gel
- VPM has acid-buffering properties and is able to maintain the acidic vaginal environment (pH 3.5-4.5) even in the presence of alkaline semen, resulting in the immobilization of sperm<sup>1,2</sup>
- VPM also provides a layer of gel over the vaginal and cervical surfaces<sup>1</sup>

## AIM

To better understand women's pregnancy intendedness by demographics, baseline characteristics, and obstetric history

## **METHODS**

- AMPOWER (NCT03243305) was an IRB-approved, phase 3, single-arm, open-label, 7-cycle trial conducted at 112 US sites
- Women were instructed to administer a single prefilled applicator of study drug intravaginally before each episode of intercourse
- Pregnancy intendedness was an exploratory endpoint in AMPOWER
- Questionnaires were given at baseline and at subsequent study visits to assess how women would feel if they became pregnant (1-10, very unhappy to very happy)
- Additional sensitivity analyses investigated correlations between pregnancy intendedness and women's demographic and obstetric history
- Women's pregnancy intendedness scores were grouped into the following three categories: - Low pregnancy intendedness (scores 1-3): very unhappy/unhappy at the prospect of pregnancy
- Neutral pregnancy intendedness (scores 4-6): pregnancy ambivalence
- High pregnancy intendedness (scores 7-10): happy/very happy at the prospect of pregnancy

## RESULTS

- Of 1384 women enrolled, 1182 were in the modified intent-to-treat population and 1152 completed pregnancy intendedness questionnaires
- At baseline, women reported a wide range of pregnancy intendedness scores (Figure 1)

### Figure 1. Pregnancy Intendedness at Baseline



Low pregnancy intendedness (scores 1-3; n=505) Neutral pregnancy intendedness (scores 4-6; n=365) High pregnancy intendedness (scores 7-10; n=282)

## Bassem Maximos, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Kelly Culwell, MD, MPH<sup>2</sup>; Clint Dart, MS<sup>3</sup>; Brandon Howard, PhD<sup>2</sup>

- The mean pregnancy intendedness score at baseline (Visit 2) was 4.3±2.9 and there was very little change at Visits 3-5 (mean change from baseline: -0.1 to -0.2)
- In general, pregnancy intendedness was not associated with differences in demographics, baseline characteristics, or obstetric history (Table 1)
- One exception was that a greater percentage of women of Hispanic/Latina origin reported low pregnancy intendedness (scores 1-3; very unhappy/unhappy at the prospect of pregnancy) compared to neutral pregnancy intendedness (scores 4-6; ambivalence towards pregnancy) or high pregnancy intendedness (scores 7-10; happy/very happy at the prospect of pregnancy)

### Table 1. Demographics, Baseline Characteristics, and Obstetric History by Baseline **Pregnancy Intendedness Category**

Parameter Category/Statistic	Low Pregnancy Intendedness (n=505)	Neutral Pregnancy Intendedness (n=365)	High Pregnancy Intendedness (n=282)
Age (yrs), mean (SD)	27.1 (4.6)	28.2 (4.3)	28.4 (4.3)
Ethnicity, n (%)			
Hispanic or Latina origin	255 (50.5)	139 (38.1)	95 (33.7)
Not Hispanic or Latina origin	247 (48.9)	224 (61.4)	185 (65.6)
Not reported	3 (0.6)	2 (0.5)	2 (0.7)
Race, n (%)			
Asian	13 (2.6)	10 (2.7)	3 (1.1)
Black or African American	104 (20.6)	85 (23.3)	80 (28.4)
White	375 (74.3)	259 (71.0)	184 (65.2)
Other	13 (2.6)	11 (3.0)	15 (5.3)
Body mass index (kg/m²), mean (SD)	27.1 (6.3)	29.9 (8.6)	29.8 (8.7)
Number of prior pregnancies, mean (SD)	1.4 (1.9)	1.5 (1.7)	1.7 (1.8)

In women who became pregnant during the AMPOWER study and who completed the pregnancy intendedness questionnaire, the mean pregnancy intendedness score at baseline was slightly higher  $(5.0\pm2.5)$  than that of women who did not become pregnant  $(4.2\pm2.9)$ (Figure 2)

## Figure 2. Mean Pregnancy Intendedness Score by AMPOWER Pregnancy Status\*



\*Includes pregnancies that occurred pre-, on-, or post-treatment.

## **Category in AMPOWER**



## **CONCLUSIONS/IMPLICATIONS**

- than women who did not

## REFERENCES

- Garg S, et al. Contraception. 2001;64:67-75.
- Bayer LL, et al. Contraception. 2014;90:11-18.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was sponsored by Evofem Biosciences, Inc. Medical writing assistance was provided by PharmaWrite, LLC (Princeton, NJ, USA) and funded by Evofem Biosciences, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA).

## DISCLOSURES

BM: Research support and consultant, Evofem Biosciences, Inc. **KC:** Employee, Evofem Biosciences, Inc. **CD:** Employee, Health Decisions, which received funding from Evofem Biosciences, Inc. to help conduct this study. BH: Employee, Evofem Biosciences, Inc.

Additionally, more women with low pregnancy intendedness scores at baseline had never been previously pregnant (scores 1-3: 46.9%, 237/505), compared with women with neutral (scores 4-6: 34.5%, 126/365) or high scores (scores 7-10: 31.6%, 89/282) (Figure 3)

### Figure 3. Number of Previous Pregnancies by Baseline Pregnancy Intendedness

Throughout the study, women reported a wide range of feelings about pregnancy suggesting that efficacy may not always be the most important characteristic with contraceptive use, even when enrolled in a contraceptive clinical trial

Women who became pregnant reported slightly higher pregnancy intendedness scores